

CULTURAL COMMENT

# THE PROBLEM OF MARITAL LONELINESS

*The new "Scenes from a Marriage," on HBO, avoids the dark questions that Ingmar Bergman confronted in the original.*

**By Agnes Callard**

September 25, 2021



Illustration by Sun Bai



Save this story

**M**y husband is really into geometry, and once he's mastered a complicated proof he likes to go through it with me in exacting detail. If he sees my eyes wandering, he commands me to pay attention. In general, the kinds of conversations he enjoys are the ones in which he expounds his latest cognitive treasure, be it scientific, historical, or some fine point about how to interpret an obscure ancient text.

I, on the other hand, gravitate toward paradoxes, and enjoy conversations in which I am the one who sets the terms of the problem and I am the one who gets to push all the simplest answers aside. Recently, I tried to spark a debate: Why isn't it permissible to walk up to strangers and ask them philosophical questions? As I probed for the deeper meaning behind this prohibition, my husband was frustrated by my ignoring the obvious: "Literally no one but you wants to do that!"

Occasionally, the point he wants to explicate magically lines up with the one I want resolved, but much of the time there is a decidedly unmagical lack of complementarity between his love of clarity and my love of confusion. Of course, we compromise: by taking turns, and by putting up with the fact that one of us is, to some degree, dragging the other along for the ride. But we can also tell that we are compromising, and that makes each of us feel sad, and somewhat alone.

Conversation is only one example of the various arenas in which we routinely fail to connect; broadly, he's considerate and unromantic, whereas I'm romantic and inconsiderate. Marriage is hard, even when no crises loom, and even when things basically work. What makes it hard are not only the various problems that arise but the lingering absence that is felt most strongly when they don't. The very closeness of marriage makes every bit of distance palpable. Something is wrong, all the time.

**I**ngmar Bergman's "Scenes from a Marriage," from 1973, is the greatest artistic exploration of the vicissitudes of marital loneliness. It consists of

six roughly hour-long episodes, in which a married couple—Johan and Marianne—try and mostly fail to connect to each other. Marianne is a lawyer, and early in the series we see her counselling an older woman who is seeking a divorce after more than twenty years of marriage. The client admits that her husband is a good man and a good father: “We’ve never quarrelled.” Neither has been unfaithful toward the other. “Won’t you be lonely?” Marianne asks. “I guess,” the woman answers. “But it’s even lonelier living in a loveless marriage.”

The client goes on to describe the strange sensory effects of her loneliness. “I have a mental picture of myself that doesn’t correspond to reality,” she says. “My senses—sight, hearing, touch—are starting to fail me. This table, for instance: I can see it and touch it, but the sensation is deadened and dry. . . . It’s the same with everything. Music, scents, faces, voices—everything seems puny, gray, and undignified.” Marianne listens in horror: the woman represents the ghost of her own future.

It is a profound insight on Bergman’s part to notice that loneliness involves a detachment not only from other people but from reality in general. As a child, I had trouble forming friendships, and turned instead to fantasy. I could imagine myself into the books I read and, by embellishing the characters, supply myself with precisely the sorts of friends that I’d always longed for. If you have engaged in this kind of fantasizing, you know that the thrill of creativity eventually collapses into a feeling of emptiness. This is the moment when loneliness hits. You’ve prepared yourself an elaborate psychological meal, and you realize, belatedly, that it can never sate your real hunger.

One is often loneliest in the presence of others because their indifference throws the futility of one’s efforts at self-sustenance into relief. (If you spend a party reading in a corner, you come to see, no matter how good the book, that you are not fooling anyone.) In a marriage, this loneliness manifests in the various ways that couples give each other space, demarcating spheres in

which each person is allowed to operate independently. If I *allow* my husband to hold forth and he *allows* me to go paradox-mongering—if we humor each other—the very frictionlessness of the ensuing thoughts infuses them with unreality. “My husband and I cancel each other out,” Marianne’s client says. She means, I think, that we sap the reality from one another’s lives by way of our lack of interest, our noninvolvement, our failure to provide the constraining traction that is needed for even the most basic sensory experiences to feel real.

Bergman uses the brief scene with Marianne’s client as a backdrop to the very different trajectory of Johan and Marianne’s marriage. Instead of reaching mutual accommodation, they become increasingly—and violently—intolerant of their failures to connect. In the opening episode, the couple is being interviewed for a magazine story that presents them as the picture of bourgeois marital contentment. As the series develops, they bicker, learn that they have cheated on each other, come to blows, divorce, and eventually, having both remarried, cheat again, with each other. The closing of the final episode, titled “In the Middle of the Night in a Dark House Somewhere in the World,” finds them huddled together in a remote cottage for a lovers’ weekend. Marianne has woken from a nightmare that evokes existential fears; Johan quiets her sobs, and the series ends.

Bergman wrote, of this ending, that the two are “now citizens of the world of reality in quite a different way from before.” Having come to understand that they really do have something to offer each other, they are also forced to see how much less that is than they had initially expected. They have traded the illusion of a happy marriage for a genuine connection that is painfully limited in scope. Marianne’s nightmare reflects this hard-won knowledge: “We were crossing a dangerous road. I wanted you and the girls to hold on to me. But my hands were missing. All I had left were stumps. I’m sliding around in soft sand. I can’t get ahold of you. You’re all up there on the road and I can’t reach you.” The small real comfort that Johan is able to provide her doesn’t negate the insight: “I can’t reach you.”

Marriages are enclosed by an opaque shell; we don't tend to talk, publicly, about how they reverberate with the buzz of disconnection. "Scenes from a Marriage" cracked open this shell, exposing—and here I borrow Bergman's own phrasing—how the married couple responds to each "dimly sensed rift" with "makeshift solutions and well-meant platitudes." Viewed by roughly half of Sweden, the series was reputed to be responsible for a rise in the country's divorce rates. Evidently, not all of Bergman's viewers were prepared to be shown what lurks behind the marital façade.

**H**agai Levi's remake of "Scenes from a Marriage," now airing on HBO, is heavy with the air of homage. It's often deeply faithful to the original, down to details such as the dream with stump arms. But Levi updates and Americanizes the story: Johan becomes Jonathan, a Jewish philosophy professor played by Oscar Isaac; Marianne becomes Mira, a tech executive played by Jessica Chastain; and the gender dynamics are reversed so pointedly that one can say Mira is Johan and Jonathan is Marianne. These and other modernizing touches are the superficial differences between the two series. The deep difference concerns their treatments of the problem of loneliness.

Levi's series totals five episodes rather than six. The missing episode—Bergman's second—is the one with the encounter between Marianne and her client. It also includes scenes in which Johan and Marianne paper over the communicative gaps between them, culminating in a discussion—and display—of the couple's sexual disconnection. Levi's cutting of this episode corresponds to a more general softening of Bergman's conflicts. It is a striking feature of Johan and Marianne's fights that the one being attacked often fails to notice just how harshly they have been spoken to; even in moments of intense emotion, they talk past each other. Jonathan and Mira, by contrast, are immediately sensitive to the ways in which they hurt each other. Although Levi includes some discussion of sexual dysfunction, he cuts the scene displaying it, and, at a crucial moment in the plot, inserts a tender

sex scene absent from the original.

If Jonathan and Mira's relationship seems better than Johan and Marianne's, it must also be acknowledged that Levi sets his couple an easier problem. Bergman suggested that marriage was meant to address a metaphysical need: our connection to reality. Levi, by contrast, sees marriage as a way of navigating one's place in the economic and social order. Child rearing features much more saliently in his characters' lives, as does the management of a shared household. Whereas Bergman chose a range of locations for his scenes, Levi grounds every one of his in the home, which becomes a focus of both visual and intellectual attention throughout the series.

The shift is telling. If marriage is composed of a set of tasks or projects—a career, parenting, keeping a home—its failures can be displayed as extrinsic to the question of how spouses connect. Levi's diagnosis is something like: these people have different priorities. This means that their lives can succeed to a greater extent than their marriage does. What was, in Bergman's hands, a horrifying picture of the limits of human contact becomes, in Levi's, a set of increasingly independent journeys of personal growth.

By the end of the remake, Jonathan, Mira, and their daughter are flourishing, and even part of their house has been renovated. In Levi's vision, the problem of loneliness can be addressed by adjusting the pragmatics of mutual dependence; at first, these changes are painful, but eventually everyone is better off—which is to say, better at achieving their goals. For Bergman, connecting *is* the goal, and it's not clear that we can do it. It is when Johan and Marianne realize this that they become "citizens of reality," a loss of innocence from which they cannot recover. Can any marriage survive an honest reckoning with itself? Can you get close enough to any person for life to feel real? These are Bergman's questions; Levi doesn't ask them.